

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

James Worthem (a.k.a. David Scott)	)	
	)	
Plaintiff	)	Case No. 08 C 2444
	)	
v.	)	Judge William T. Hart
	)	
Michael Nolan, et al.	)	Magistrate Judge Nan Nolan
	)	
Defendants.	)	Jury Trial Demanded

**Exhibit A**

Page: 1 Document Name: untitled

---

CASE: 07CR0971101 S (START OF FELONY CASE) PAGE: 001 OF 026 P  
Defendant Name: JAMES WORTHEM  
GENERAL INFORMATION  
CB: 016868291 IR: 0744098 SID: 025583930 FBI: 493279EA1 RD: HN299061

ATTORNEY INFORMATION -- NO ATTORNEYS ASSOC W/CASE --

CHARGE INFORMATION

NBR	A	TYPE	CLASS	CHAPTER/SECTION	DESCRIPTION
001	F	2	720-5/18-1(a)	ROBBERY	

DISPOSITION INFORMATION

050407-  
IND/INFO-CLK OFFICE-PRES JUDGE 052107 1701  
CLERK'S OFFICE 26TH & CALIFORNIA 0900 AM

Enter=Continue PF3=Return PF7=Bkwrđ PF8=Frwd PF10=Reset PF12=Print Clear=E  
=> Print The Following Pages PAGE: 001 THRU 026 Destination \_\_\_\_\_

CASE: 07CR0971101 S (START OF FELONY CASE)

PAGE: 016 OF 026

PROD

DEFENDANT NAME: JAMES

WORTHEN

020408-

JURY WAIVED

000000

MORAN, JOHN J.

ROOM 108

SKOKIE

~~020408-~~

~~FINDING OF GUILTY~~

000000

C001

~~MORAN, JOHN J.~~

ROOM 108

SKOKIE

~~020408-~~

~~BAIL REVOKED~~

000000

MORAN, JOHN J.

ROOM 108

SKOKIE

020408-

PRE-SENT INVEST. ORD, CONTD TO

000000

MORAN, JOHN J.

ROOM 108

SKOKIE

ENTER=CONTINUE PF3=RETURN PF7=BKWRD PF8=FRWD PF10=RESET PF12=PRINT CLEAR=EXIT

=> PRINT THE FOLLOWING PAGES PAGE: 001 THRU 026 DESTINATION \_\_\_\_\_

CASE: 07CR0971101 S (START OF FELONY CASE)

PAGE: 022 OF 026

PROD

DEFENDANT NAME: JAMES WORTHEM

050808-

~~JUMP ON FINDING/VERDICT/PLEA~~ 000000

P

MORAN, JOHN J.

ROOM 108 SKOKIE

~~050808-~~

~~DEF SENTENCED ILLINOIS DOC~~ 000000

C001

MORAN, JOHN J.

ROOM 108 SKOKIE

050808-

CREDIT DEFENDANT FOR TIME SERV 000000

MORAN, JOHN J.

381 DY

ROOM 108 SKOKIE

050808-

DEF ADVISED OF RIGHT TO APPEAL 000000

MORAN, JOHN J.

ROOM 108 SKOKIE

ENTER=CONTINUE PF3=RETURN PF7=BKWRD PF8=FRWD PF10=RESET PF12=PRINT CLEAR=EXIT  
=> PRINT THE FOLLOWING PAGES PAGE: 001 THRU 026 DESTINATION \_\_\_\_\_

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

# Exhibit B

Case 1:08-cv-03200 Document 12 Filed 06/27/2008 Page 1 of 1

Order Form (01/2005)

## United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	VIRGINIA M. KENDALL	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	
CASE NUMBER	08 C 3200	DATE	June 27, 2008
CASE TITLE	U.S. ex rel. James Worthem a/k/a David Scott (#B-12624) vs. Roger Walker, et al.		

## DOCKET ENTRY TEXT:

The petitioner has failed to exhaust state court remedies prior to seeking federal habeas corpus relief. Accordingly, the court summarily dismisses the petition for a writ of habeas corpus, without prejudice, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. The case is terminated. The petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel [#4] is denied as moot. The petitioner is reminded, for future reference, that he is required to provide the court with the original plus a judge's copy of every document filed.

■ [For further details see text below.]

Docketing to mail notices.

## STATEMENT

James Worthem, a state prisoner, brings this *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The petitioner challenges his February 2008 conviction for robbery on the grounds that: (1) the police illegally searched the petitioner's home; (2) police arrested the petitioner without probable cause or a warrant; (3) petitioner's defense counsel was ineffective; and (4) the trial judge was biased against the petitioner for pressing charges against a courtroom bailiff who had allegedly assaulted the petitioner.

By Minute Order of June 5, 2008, the court granted the petitioner's motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* but ordered him to show good cause in writing why the petition should not be stayed or summarily dismissed for failure to exhaust state court remedies prior to filing suit.

As discussed in the court's prior order, an inmate who seeks to challenge a state conviction under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 must first exhaust his state court remedies as to all his claims. *See Rose v. Lundy*, 455 U.S. 509 (1982). Here, the petitioner's rambling response discusses various alleged deficiencies relating to his arrest and criminal prosecution; however, he does not address the exhaustion issue. Because the petitioner has failed to demonstrate that he has exhausted state court remedies either through direct appeal or by way of a post-conviction petition, his federal habeas petition is not ripe for review.

For the foregoing reasons, after preliminary review, the court summarily dismisses the petition for a writ of habeas corpus, without prejudice, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. The case is terminated.

mjm

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

James Worthem (a.k.a. David Scott)	)	
	)	
Plaintiff	)	Case No. 08 C 2444
	)	
v.	)	Judge William T. Hart
	)	
Michael Nolan, et al.	)	Magistrate Judge Nan Nolan
	)	
Defendants.	)	Jury Trial Demanded

**Exhibit C**

CASE: 07CR0971101 S. (START OF FELONY CASE)

PAGE: 025 OF 026

PROD

DEFENDANT NAME: JAMES WORTHEM

051908-

COMMON LAW RECORD PREPARED 000000

~~TWO (2) VOLUMES CLR~~

CLERK'S OFFICE 26TH &amp; CALIFORNIA

051608-

ILL STATE APPELLATE DEF APPTD 000000

BIEBEL, PAUL JR.

ROOM 405 26TH &amp; CALIFORNIA

051608-

O/C FREE REPT OF PROCD ORD N/C

08-1308

BIEBEL, PAUL JR.

ROOM 405 26TH &amp; CALIFORNIA

~~052108-~~~~APPELLATE COURT NUMBER ASCND~~ 000000~~08-1308~~

CLERK'S OFFICE 26TH &amp; CALIFORNIA

ENTER=CONTINUE PF3=RETURN PF7=BKWRD PF8=FRWD PF10=RESET PF12=PRINT CLEAR=EXIT  
=> PRINT THE FOLLOWING PAGES PAGE: 001 THRU 026 DESTINATION \_\_\_\_\_